UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/558,629	11/30/2005	Katsunori Matsuura	281486US90PCT	4628
	7590 05/28/201 AK, MCCLELLAND 1	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			BEHARRY, NOEL R	
ALEXANDRIA	A, VA 22314	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2446		
		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/28/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/558,629	MATSUURA, KATSUNORI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
NOEL BEHARRY	2446		

	140EE BEINWALL	2448	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 May 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidaveal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir	ig date of the final rejectio	n.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	(f). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	136(a) and the appropriate of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final Offic	e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS	be transfer to the state of Clinical balan	91 () (4)	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO		cause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in below appeal; and/or 	•	ducing or simplifying th	ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		(-	, .
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendmer	t canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: NONE. Claim(s) objected to: NONE. Claim(s) rejected: 1-10,15-18,21-23 and 29. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: NONE.		ill be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	and the state of t		1141
 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has be allowance because: See Continuation Sheet. 	een considered but does NOT plac	e the application in col	naition for
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:	(
	/Benjamin R Bruckart/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Jnit 2446	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The examiner respectfully disagrees. The claims merely recite "the address translation rule associates a sending device and destination on the global network with a destination on the private network." Applicant's attention is directed to the word "associates" of the claims. Because the word "associates" is so broad, it is interpreted that the address translation rule associates a sending device because in order to receive the address it would have had to be sent from a device, and therefore, it is associated with the device that sent the request. The applicant is encouraged to amend the claims to include that the address translation rule explicitly requires the sending device in determining which rule to apply. Further, it is noted that the applicant argues that Chang states "When receiving an IP packet, the NAT router determines whether the source IP or destination IP address in the header of the IP packet matches with the address translation rules. If that are matched, an address translation is performed based on the content of the NAT table; otherwise, no address translation is performed" and that it does not refer to a sending device. The examiner contends that a source IP address is an association with a sending device. The applicant further argues concepts of Chang regarding opening multiple servers on a private network by using only one global IP address and the same port number, but the examiner submits that "It is the claims that define the claimed invention, and it is claims, not specifications that are anticipated or unpatentable. Constant v. Advanced Micro-Devices/nc., 7 USPQ2d 1064." Therefore, since the claims do not require nor mention anything about accessing multiple servers using one global IP address or anything of that nature, the argument is not considered because it is not in the claims. The examiner advises applicant to overcome the cited prior art by clarifying and further defining the address transla

Applicant's attention is drawn to a new examiner of record; please see updated correspondence information below.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NOEL BEHARRY whose telephone number is (571)270-5630. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH 10-4. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jeffrey Pwu can be reached on (571) 272-6798. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.